

The developer's remarks are deeply troubling and come across as rather feigned. They claim that such large-scale solar farms represent government policy, but this is untrue. This applies to 50 MW and less.

This solar industrialization has an unparalleled aesthetic impact. Walking, driving, cycling, running, or riding will all increase visibility and completely industrialise the surroundings. The hedges to be grown cannot possibly have an effect on the visual impact for 15 years. Plus the density of these solar farms in such a close area is unprecedented. The Power Stations are merely a point on the headland, contrary to the developer's attempt to imply, and the area has not yet become developed.

The devastation of the cumulative effect of all four is just not possible to imagine. The area within a 6 mile radius will just be a sea of glass.

This developer mentioned sheep grazing in their DCO application and during consultation. This seems to be utterly false and was done merely to appear more biodiverse. They have stated there is no commitment to do this.

The fauna will be decimated by the enterprise as a whole.

Additionally, the developer claims that solar is predictable? Do they understand the English weather? Solar cannot be predicted in the UK because it depends on bright weather.

After debates between the developer and 7000 Acres, it is evident that solar power is not the solution for the UK because it cannot supply the system with electricity during times of high demand.

The visual impact cannot be disguised due to the size and scale and the close proximity of these projects and the height of the Lincolnshire cliffs.

There are better options to get to net zero and quite simply it's brownfield land, roof tops and other more predictable energy alternatives such as wind and solar.